UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

JONATHAN NETTLES,

Plaintiff.	Case No. 1:22-cv-10499
v. ERIK SKABARDIS, Sergeant, Bridgeport Police Department, Defendant.	Honorable Thomas L. Ludington United States District Judge Honorable Patricia T. Morris United States Magistrate Judge
JONATHAN NETTLES,	
Plaintiff.	Case No. 1:22-cv-10535
TAMMY BRUNO, Supervisor at Saginaw CPS/DHHS, MELISSA JOHNSON, Investigator at Saginaw CPS/DHHS, and MICHIAN DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES/CPS, Defendants.	Honorable Thomas L. Ludington United States District Judge Honorable Patricia T. Morris United States Magistrate Judge
JONATHAN NETTLES,	
Plaintiff.	Case No. 1:22-cv-10615
v. DAVID DUFFETT, Bridgeport Township Chief of Police,	Honorable Thomas L. Ludington United States District Judge Honorable Patricia T. Morris
Defendant.	United States Magistrate Judge

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION TO CONSOLIDATE CASES AND CONSOLIDATING CASES

Within two weeks, Plaintiff Jonathan Nettles filed three cases against numerous state, county, and township officials. *See Nettles v. Skabardis*, No. 1:22-CV-10499 (E.D. Mich. filed Mar. 7, 2022); *Nettles v. Bruno*, No. 1:22-CV-10535 (E.D. Mich. filed Mar. 14, 2022); *Nettles v. Duffett*, No. 1:22-CV-10615 (E.D. Mich. filed Mar. 22, 2022). Because he filed all three cases *pro se*, they were all referred to Magistrate Judge Patricia T. Morris for screening purposes.

On August 15, 2022, Judge Morris found common questions of law and fact in all three cases such that she issued a Report and Recommendation (R&R) recommending that this Court *sua sponte* consolidate all three cases to prevent the risk of inconsistent judgments, to benefit judicial economy, and to avoid potential prejudice to the parties. *Skabardis*, No. 1:22-CV-10499, slip op. at 10 (E.D. Mich. Aug. 15, 2022), ECF No. 13; *Bruno*, No. 1:22-CV-10535, slip op. at 10 (E.D. Mich. Aug. 15, 2022), ECF No. 10; *Duffett*, No. 1:22-CV-10615, slip op. at 10 (E.D. Mich. Aug. 15, 2022), ECF No. 11.

Although the R&R states that Plaintiff may object to and seek review of the R&R within 14 days of service, he has not filed any objections. He has therefore waived his right to appeal Judge Morris's findings. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985).

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation in Case No. 1:22-CV-10499, ECF No. 13, is **ADOPTED**.

Further, it is **ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation in Case No. 1:22-CV-10535, ECF No. 10, is **ADOPTED**.

Further, it is **ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation in Case No. 1:22-CV-10615, ECF No. 11, is **ADOPTED**.

Further, it is **ORDERED** that *Nettles v. Skabardis*, No. 1:22-CV-10499; *Nettles v. Bruno*, No. 1:22-CV-10535; and *Nettles v. Duffett*, No. 1:22-CV-10615 are **CONSOLIDATED** into Case No. 1:22-cv-10499 under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 42(a).

Further, it is **ORDERED** that the parties are **DIRECTED** to file motions, responsive materials, and other filings under Case No. **1:22-CV-10499**.

Dated: September 2, 2022

s/Thomas L. Ludington
THOMAS L. LUDINGTON
United States District Judge